

Template Website Disclosures for Article 8 funds

Article 10 EU Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (“SFDR”) disclosure – in accordance with Chapter IV, Section 2 of Delegated Regulation 2022 / 1288 (“Level 2 RTS”)

Sustainability-related Disclosures section of the NB Website

In accordance with Article 10 of the SFDR, and Chapter IV, Section 2 of Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1288, this document provides information on the environmental and social characteristics promoted by the Neuberger Berman Emerging Market Debt Sustainable Investment Grade Blend Fund and the methodologies that are used to assess, measure and monitor these characteristics.

Defined terms used in this disclosure (unless defined herein) are as set out in Portfolio offering documents. Terms used in the summary have the same meaning as in the rest of this website disclosure.

Neuberger Berman Emerging Market Debt Sustainable Investment Grade Blend Fund (the "Portfolio")

Summary

The Portfolio is categorised as an Article 8 financial product for the purposes of SFDR.

As part of the investment process, the investment team considers a variety of environmental and social characteristics, as detailed below. These environmental and social characteristics are considered using a proprietary Neuberger Berman ESG rating system (the “NB ESG Quotient”). The NB ESG Quotient is built around the concept of sector specific ESG risk and opportunity, and produces an overall ESG rating for issuers by assessing them against certain ESG metrics.

No Sustainable Investment Objective

This Portfolio promotes environmental or social characteristics, but does not have as its objective sustainable investment. However, the Portfolio commits to holding a minimum of 50% sustainable investments.

Environmental or social characteristics promoted by the Portfolio

The following environmental and social characteristics are considered, as part of the NB ESG Quotient rating for sovereign issuers:

- **Environmental Characteristics:** sovereign energy efficiency; climate change adaptation; deforestation; greenhouse gas (“GHG”) emissions; air and household pollution; and unsafe sanitation.
- **Social Characteristics:** progress towards UN Sustainable Development Goals (“SDGs”); health and education levels; regulatory quality; political stability and freedoms; gender equality; research & development.

The following environmental and social characteristics are considered, where relevant to the specific industry and issuer, as part of the NB ESG Quotient rating for corporate issuers:

- **Environmental Characteristics:** biodiversity and land usage; carbon emissions; opportunities in clean technologies; water stress; toxic emissions & waste; financing environmental impact; product carbon footprint; environmental policy; environmental management system; GHG reduction programme; green procurement policy; and non-GHG air emissions programmes.
- **Social Characteristics:** health & safety; human capital development; labour management; privacy & data security; product safety & quality; financial products safety; discrimination policy; community involvement programmes; diversity programmes; and human rights policy.

	The Portfolio commits to a net zero goal.
Investment Strategy	<p>The Portfolio aims to achieve a target average return of 1% over the Benchmark, before fees over a market cycle (typically 3 years) by investing primarily in a blend of investment grade rated hard and local emerging market currency denominated debt, issued by sovereigns, quasi-sovereigns, sub-sovereigns and corporate credits in Emerging Market Countries that meet the Sustainable Criteria. The Benchmark comprises of 1/3 weighting to the JP Morgan GBI Emerging Markets Global Diversified Investment Grade 15% Cap Index (Total Return, Unhedged, USD) and 2/3 weighting to the JP Morgan EMBI Global Diversified Investment Grade Index (Total Return, USD).</p> <p><u>Assessment of Good Governance</u> Governance factors that the investment team tracks may include: (i) senior management experience and sector expertise; (ii) ownership/board experience and alignment of incentives; (iii) corporate strategy and balance sheet strategy; (iv) financial and accounting strategy & disclosure; and (v) regulatory / legal track record.</p>
Proportion of Investments	The Portfolio aims to directly hold a minimum of 80% investments that are aligned with the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the Portfolio. The Portfolio commits to holding a minimum of 50% sustainable investments.
Monitoring of environmental or social characteristics	<p>The investment team considers a variety of sustainability indicators to measure the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by the Portfolio, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) the NB ESG Quotient; and (b) NB ESG exclusion policies.
Methodologies for environmental or social characteristics	The investment team will track and report on the performance of the above sustainability indicators. These sustainability indicators will be used to measure the attainment of each of the environmental and social characteristics promoted by the Portfolio and will be included in the Portfolio's mandatory periodic report.
Data sources and processing	<p>ESG data inputs are derived from multiple datasets including international financial organisations, external vendors, company direct disclosures, company indirect disclosures, development agencies and specialty ESG research providers.</p> <p>ESG data feeds are monitored and reconciled by our data quality assurance team and critical data elements are closely reviewed as part of internal reporting.</p>
Limitations to methodologies and data	Limitations in both methodology and data are listed under this heading in the main body of the website disclosure. Neuberger Berman is satisfied that such limitations do not affect the promotion of environmental or social characteristics as explained further under this heading in the main body of the website disclosure.
Due Diligence	Before making investments, Neuberger Berman will conduct reasonable and appropriate due diligence based on the facts and circumstances applicable to each investment.
Engagement Policies	Engagement is an important component of the Portfolio's investment process.
Designated Reference Benchmark	N/A

SFDR Level 2 Article 8 website disclosure – Neuberger Berman Emerging Market Debt Sustainable Investment Grade Blend Fund (the "Portfolio")

In accordance with Article 10 of the SFDR, and Chapter IV, Section 2 of Delegated Regulation (EU) 2022/1288, this document provides information on the social and environmental characteristics promoted by the Neuberger Berman Emerging Market Debt Sustainable Investment Grade Blend Fund and the methodologies that are used to assess, measure and monitor these characteristics.

Defined terms used in this disclosure (unless defined herein) are as set out in Portfolio offering documents. Terms used in the summary have the same meaning as in the rest of this website disclosure.

No sustainable investment objective of the financial product

This financial product promotes environmental or social characteristics, but does not have as its objective sustainable investment.

However, the Portfolio commits to holding a minimum of 50% sustainable investments.

The Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager will consider the following principal adverse impacts indicators when determining whether the sustainable investments that the Portfolio intends to make, do not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective:

Greenhouse gas (“**GHG**”) emissions; carbon footprint; GHG intensity of issuers; exposure to issuers active in the fossil fuel sector; share of non-renewable energy consumption and production; energy consumption intensity per high impact climate sector; activities negatively affecting biodiversity-sensitive areas; emissions to water; hazardous waste and radioactive waste ratio; violations of United Nations Global Compact Principles (“**UNGC Principles**”) and OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises (“**OECD Guidelines**”); lack of processes and compliance mechanisms to monitor compliance with UNGC Principles and OECD Guidelines; unadjusted gender pay gap, board gender diversity; and exposure to controversial weapons (anti-personnel mines, cluster munitions, chemical weapons and biological weapons) (the “**PAIs**”) for the corporate issuers and GHG intensity and investee countries subject to social violations (the “**Sovereign PAIs**”) for the sovereign issuers.

Consideration of the above PAIs and Sovereign PAIs is limited by the availability of adequate, reliable and verifiable data coverage for such indicators, and may evolve with improving data quality and availability. The Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager will utilise third party data and proxy data along with internal research to consider the PAIs and Sovereign PAIs.

Additionally, the Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager have conducted a letter campaign where it has written to select investee issuers asking for direct disclosure on the PAIs in order to offer high-quality disclosures to investors. The Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager will continue to work with issuers to encourage disclosure and to gather wider and more granular data coverage on the PAIs.

Consideration of the PAIs and Sovereign PAIs by the Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager, when determining whether the sustainable investments that the Portfolio intends to make, do not cause significant harm to any environmental or social sustainable investment objective of the Portfolio's sustainable investments, will be through a combination of:

- Monitoring issuers which fall below the quantitative and qualitative tolerance thresholds set for a PAI or Sovereign PAI by the Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager;
- Stewardship and/or setting engagement objectives with issuers which fall below the quantitative and qualitative tolerance thresholds set for a PAI or Sovereign PAIs; and
- Application of the NB ESG exclusion policies detailed below.

The Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager will not invest in companies whose activities have been identified as breaching the UNGC Principles, United Nations Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights (“**UNGPs**”), OECD Guidelines and International Labour Organization Standards Conventions (“**ILO Standards**”), captured through the Neuberger Berman Global Standards Policy.

Environmental or social characteristics of the financial product

As part of the investment process, the Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager consider a variety of environmental and social characteristics, as detailed below. These environmental and social characteristics are considered using a proprietary Neuberger Berman ESG rating system (the “**NB ESG Quotient**”). The NB ESG Quotient is built around the concept of sector specific ESG risk and opportunity, and produces an overall ESG rating for issuers by assessing them against certain ESG metrics.

The Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager use the NB ESG Quotient to promote the environmental and social characteristics listed below by prioritising investment in securities issued by issuers with a relatively favourable and/or an improving NB ESG Quotient rating. The Portfolio does not invest in issuers which rank in the bottom quartile in terms of their NB ESG Quotient.

The following environmental and social characteristics are considered, as part of the NB ESG Quotient rating for sovereign issuers:

- **Environmental Characteristics:** sovereign energy efficiency; climate change adaptation; deforestation; GHG emissions; air and household pollution; and unsafe sanitation.
- **Social Characteristics:** progress towards UN Sustainable Development Goals (“**SDGs**”); health and education levels; regulatory quality; political stability and freedoms; gender equality; and research & development.

The following environmental and social characteristics are considered, where relevant to the specific industry and issuer, as part of the NB ESG Quotient rating for corporate issuers:

- **Environmental Characteristics:** biodiversity and land usage; carbon emissions; opportunities in clean technologies; water stress; toxic emissions & waste; financing environmental impact; product carbon footprint; environmental policy; environmental management system; GHG reduction programme; green procurement policy; and non-GHG air emissions programmes.
- **Social Characteristics:** health & safety; human capital development; labour management; privacy & data security; product safety & quality; financial products safety; discrimination policy; community involvement programmes; diversity programmes; and human rights policy.

In aiming to align the Portfolio with a net zero goal, the Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager will promote the reduction of the Portfolio’s carbon footprint across scope 1, 2, and material scope 3 GHG emissions¹.

Performance in relation to these environmental and social characteristics will be measured through the NB ESG Quotient, and will be reported in aggregate in the Portfolio’s mandatory periodic report template (as per the requirements of Article 11 of SFDR).

¹ Scope 1 emissions are direct emissions from an issuer’s owned or controlled sources (such as emissions created directly by the issuer’s business processes or from vehicles owned by the issuer). Scope 2 emissions are indirect emissions from the generation of electricity, steam, heating and cooling consumed by the issuer. Scope 3 emissions are all other indirect emissions that occur in an issuer’s value chain (such as emissions from products or services consumed by the issuer, disposal of its waste, employee commuting, distribution and transport of its products or its investments).

The NB ESG Quotient methodology will evolve over time and all ESG characteristics included therein are reviewed regularly and are subject to annual review to ensure that the most pertinent ESG characteristics are captured. Accordingly, the environmental and social characteristics considered as part of the NB ESG Quotient are subject to change. For the avoidance of doubt, if the environmental or social characteristics considered as part of the NB ESG Quotient change, website disclosure will be updated accordingly.

Exclusions are also applied (as further set out below) as part of the construction and ongoing monitoring of the Portfolio. These represent additional environmental and social characteristics promoted by the Portfolio.

A reference benchmark has not been designated for the purpose of attaining the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the Portfolio.

Investment strategy

The Portfolio aims to achieve a target average return of 1% over the Benchmark, before fees over a market cycle (typically 3 years) by investing primarily in a blend of investment grade rated hard and local emerging market currency denominated debt, issued by sovereigns, quasi-sovereigns, sub-sovereigns and corporate credits in Emerging Market Countries that meet the Sustainable Criteria. The Benchmark comprises of 1/3 weighting to the JP Morgan GBI Emerging Markets Global Diversified Investment Grade 15% Cap Index (Total Return, Unhedged, USD) and 2/3 weighting to the JP Morgan EMBI Global Diversified Investment Grade Index (Total Return, USD).

In aiming to align the Portfolio with a net zero goal, the Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager intend to reduce the Portfolio's carbon footprint for corporate securities across scope 1, 2, and material scope 3 GHG emissions, equating to a 50% reduction by 2030 relative to a 2019 baseline and a subsequent decline to net zero by 2050. The 2019 baseline may be subject to re-calculation as data quality and disclosure expands over time, particularly with respect to scope 3 emissions. For sovereign bonds, the Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager deploy a methodology that tracks a country's net-zero alignment according to GermanWatch's Climate Change Performance Index, which is recommended by the Institutional Investors Group on Climate Change ("IIGCC"), as well as Climate Action Tracker. Any net-zero commitments and targets are set in the expectation that governments will follow through on their own commitments to ensure the objectives of the Paris Agreement are met, including increasing the ambition of their Nationally Determined Contributions ("NDCs"). Further details on Neuberger Berman's commitment to the Net Zero Asset Managers Initiative are contained in the "Sustainable Investment Criteria" section of the Prospectus.

The Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager consider and evaluate ESG characteristics, as an important component of their credit analysis discipline, when making investment decisions. The Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager utilise the NB ESG Quotient criteria, as part of the Portfolio construction and investment management process. As noted above, NB ESG Quotient assigns weightings to environmental, social and governance characteristics for each sector to derive the NB ESG Quotient rating. Issuers with a favourable and/or an improving NB ESG Quotient rating have a higher chance of ending up in the Portfolio. The Portfolio does not invest in issuers which rank in the bottom quartile in terms of their NB ESG Quotient.

The ESG analysis is performed internally, with the support of third-party data, and is not outsourced.

In addition, corporate credit worthiness is complemented by fundamental analysis aimed at assessing the issuer's financial performance such as revenue/earnings before interest, tax, depreciation, and amortisation ("EBITDA") growth, cash flow growth, capital expenditures, leverage trends and liquidity profile.

ESG characteristics are considered at three different levels:

I. Integrating proprietary ESG analysis:

The NB ESG Quotient ratings are generated for issuers in the Portfolio. The NB ESG Quotient rating for issuers is utilised to help to better identify risks and opportunities in the overall credit and value assessment.

The NB ESG Quotient is a key component of the internal credit ratings and can help to identify business risks (including ESG risks), which would cause deterioration in an issuer's credit profile. Internal credit ratings can be notched up or down based on the NB ESG Quotient rating, and this is monitored by the Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager as an important component of the investment process for the Portfolio.

By integrating the investment team's proprietary ESG analysis (the NB ESG Quotient) into their internal credit ratings, there is a direct link between their analysis of material ESG characteristics and portfolio construction activities across their strategy.

Issuers with a favourable and/or an improving NB ESG Quotient rating have a higher chance of ending up in the Portfolio. The Portfolio does not invest in issuers which rank in the bottom quartile in terms of their NB ESG Quotient.

II. Engagement:

The Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager engage directly with management teams of issuers through a robust ESG engagement program.

The Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager also engage with sovereign issuers in developed and Emerging Market Countries. As part of its sovereign engagement, the Manager's and the Sub-Investment Manager's portfolio managers and analysts speak regularly to government officials, policy makers and international financial organisations, such as the International Monetary Fund, World Bank and Asian Development Bank, with onsite visits whenever possible, and utilise such meetings to engage with sovereign issuers on ESG topics, where the Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager see scope for improvement for the relevant country.

The sovereign engagement process tends to focus on the various areas relating to SDGs under the UN Global Compact, and the UNGP. In addition, the Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager monitor and engage with countries on reducing GHG emissions based on the Climate Watch Net Zero Tracker managed by World Resources Institute. Sovereign engagement is also carried out with jurisdictions under increased monitoring, who are actively working with the Financial Action Task Force ("FATF") to address strategic deficiencies in counter money laundering, terrorist financing and proliferation financing. Progress on sovereign engagement is tracked centrally in the Manager's and the Sub-Investment Manager's engagement log.

The Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager view this direct engagement with issuers, as an important part of its investment process (including the investment selection process). Issuers that are not receptive to engagement are less likely to be held (or to continue to be held) by the Portfolio.

This program is focused on in-person meetings, email and conference calls to understand ESG risks, opportunities, and assess good corporate governance practices of issuers. As part of the direct engagement process, the Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager may set objectives for the issuers to attain. These objectives as well as the issuers' progress with respect to same are monitored and tracked by the Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager through an internal Neuberger Berman ("NB") engagement tracker.

The Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager firmly believe this consistent engagement with issuers can help reduce credit risk and promote positive sustainable corporate change. It is an important tool to identify and better understand an issuer's risk factors and performance. The Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager also use it to promote change, when necessary, which they believe will result in positive outcomes for creditors and broader stakeholders. Direct engagement when paired with

other inputs, creates a feedback loop that allows analysts in the investment team to evolve their ESG scoring process and prioritise risks that are most relevant to a sector.

III. ESG sectoral exclusion policies:

To ensure that the environmental and social characteristics promoted by the Portfolio can be attained, the Portfolio will apply the ESG exclusion policies explained in more detail in the “Methodologies for environmental or social characteristics” section.

Policy to assess good governance practices of the investee companies

The governance factors that the Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager track for corporate and quasi-sovereign issuers may include: (i) senior management experience and sector expertise; (ii) ownership/board experience and alignment of incentives; (iii) corporate strategy and balance sheet strategy; (iv) financial and accounting strategy & disclosure; and (v) regulatory / legal track record.

Engagement with management is an important component of the Portfolio’s investment process, and the Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager engage directly with management teams of issuers through a robust ESG engagement program. This program is focused on in-person meetings, email and conference calls to understand risks, opportunities and assess good corporate governance practices of investee issuers. The Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager view this direct engagement with issuers, as an important part of its investment process.

While the prioritisation assessment is ongoing, the timing of the engagement may be reactionary in certain cases, opportunistic in cases of industry events or pre-planned meetings, or proactive where time allows and without undue restrictions such as during quiet periods or M&A events that may prevent outreach actions. Ultimately, the Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager aim to prioritise engagement that is expected, based on the Manager’s and the Sub-Investment Manager’s subjective analysis, to have a high impact on the protection of and improvement to the value of the Portfolio, be it through the advancement of actionable disclosure, understanding of risks and risk management at an issuer, or through influence and action to mitigate risks (including sustainability risks) and take advantage of investment opportunities.

Additionally, the Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager also track governance factors for Emerging Market Countries such as: (i) the political sphere of the relevant country, (ii) the adherence to the rule of law, (iii) control of corruption, political uncertainty related to upcoming elections and (iv) a focus of the quality of economic governance, namely the government’s role as an effective regulator and support of the private sector through responsible financial, macroeconomic and international trade policies.

Proportion of Investments

The Portfolio aims to hold a minimum of 80% investments that are aligned with the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the Portfolio. The Portfolio commits to holding a minimum of 50% in sustainable investments. The Portfolio aims to hold a maximum of 20% investments that are not aligned with the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the Portfolio and are not sustainable investments, and which fall into the “other” section of the Portfolio.

Please note that while the Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager aim to achieve the asset allocation targets outlined above, these figures may fluctuate during the investment period and ultimately, as with any investment target, may not be attained.

The exact asset allocation of this Portfolio will be reported in the Portfolio’s mandatory periodic report SFDR template, for the relevant reference period. This will be calculated based on the average of the four quarter ends.

The Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager have calculated the proportion of environmentally and/or socially aligned investments in the Portfolio by reference to the proportion of issuers in the Portfolio: i) that hold an NB ESG Quotient rating or a third party equivalent ESG rating that is used as

part of the portfolio construction and investment management process of the Portfolio; and/or ii) with whom the Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager have engaged directly. The calculation is based on a mark-to-market assessment of the Portfolio. This calculation may rely on incomplete or inaccurate issuer or third party data.

While the Portfolio may use derivatives for efficient portfolio management, investment purposes and/or hedging, it will not use derivatives to promote environmental or social characteristics.


“Other” includes the remaining investments of the financial product (including but not limited to any derivatives or any security collateralized by a pool of similar assets or receivables listed in the Supplement for the Portfolio) which are neither aligned with the environmental or social characteristics, nor are qualified as sustainable investments.

The “Other” section in the Portfolio is held for a number of reasons that the Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager feel will be beneficial to the Portfolio, such as, but not limited to, achieving risk management, and/or to ensure adequate liquidity, hedging and collateral cover.

The Portfolio will be invested in compliance with ESG exclusion policies, on a continuous basis. This ensures that investments made by the Portfolio seek to align with international environmental and social safeguards such as the UNGC Principles, UNGPs, OECD Guidelines and ILO Standards, captured through the Neuberger Berman Global Standards Policy.

The Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager believe that these policies prevent investment in issuers that most egregiously violate environmental and/or social minimum standards and ensures that the Portfolio can successfully promote its environmental and social characteristics.

The above steps ensure that robust environmental and social safeguards are in place.



Monitoring of environmental or social characteristics

Following investment, the Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager monitor issuers on an ongoing basis to track their performance with respect to environmental and social characteristics. In particular, the Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager will track and report on the performance of (i) the NB ESG Quotient, and (ii) the adherence to the ESG exclusion lists applied to the Portfolio. These sustainability indicators will be used to measure the attainment of each of the environmental and social characteristics promoted by the Portfolio and will be included in the Portfolio's mandatory periodic report (as per the requirements of Article 11 of SFDR).

Methodologies for environmental or social characteristics

As part of the investment process, the Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager consider a variety of sustainability indicators to measure the environmental and/or social characteristics promoted by the Portfolio. These are listed below:

I. The NB ESG Quotient:

The NB ESG Quotient (as explained in the section headed “Environmental or social characteristics of the financial product”) is used to measure the environmental and social characteristics promoted by the Portfolio.

The NB ESG Quotient assigns weightings to environmental, social and governance factors for countries and for corporate sectors to derive the NB ESG Quotient rating. Issuers with a favourable and/or an improving NB ESG Quotient rating have a higher chance of being included in the Portfolio. Issuers with a poor NB ESG Quotient rating, especially where a poor NB ESG Quotient rating is not being addressed by an issuer, will be excluded from the Portfolio.

II. ESG exclusion policies:

To ensure that the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the Portfolio can be attained, the Portfolio will not invest in securities issued by issuers whose activities breach the Neuberger Berman Controversial Weapons Policy and the Neuberger Berman Thermal Coal Involvement Policy. Furthermore, investments held by the Portfolio will not invest in securities issued by issuers whose activities have been identified as breaching the Neuberger Berman Global Standards Policy which excludes violators of (i) the UNGC Principles, (ii) the OECD Guidelines, (iii) the UNGPs, and (iv) the ILO Standards. The Neuberger Berman Sustainable Exclusion Policy and Neuberger Berman the Enhanced Sustainable Exclusion Policy are applied when determining what investments to make for the Portfolio. The Portfolio also excludes issuers from the investment universe that are involved in controversial activities and behaviour, such as fur manufacturers, gambling and nuclear power.

Data sources and processing

ESG data inputs are derived from multiple datasets including international financial organizations, external vendors, company direct disclosures (e.g., sustainability reports, annual reports, regulatory filings, and company websites), company indirect disclosures (e.g., government agency published data; industry and trade association data; and third-party financial data providers), development agencies and specialty ESG research providers.

ESG data is a key domain and part of our internal data governance with an assigned ESG Data Steward and a dedicated ESG Technology team. The ESG Data Steward has periodic engagements with ESG data vendors to discuss issues such as data coverage and will evaluate options to help resolve data

gaps. Subscription to multiple data vendors enables us to evaluate company coverage and quality of data between vendors. In addition, our investment teams continue to explore new data products and vendors to evaluate potential enhancements to our existing data coverage.

ESG data feeds are monitored and reconciled by our data quality assurance team and critical data elements are closely reviewed as part of internal reporting. ESG Data is integrated throughout the firm's operating management system, compliance and risk management systems, providing all stakeholders transparency into portfolio ESG metrics in real time.

In addition, the firm's internally derived data team work collaboratively with the ESG Investing team to identify innovative and non-traditional data sources which may provide additional insights. We continuously seek to identify additional data and research, which may enhance our analysis.

We believe that the most effective way to integrate ESG into an investment process over the long term is for investment teams themselves to research ESG factors and consider them alongside other inputs into the investment process. For this reason, ESG research is included in the work of our research analysts rather than employing a separate ESG research team. We embed such research in the work of our security research analysts.

The investment teams can then choose how best to apply all the tools of active management, whether that is to engage or ultimately to sell a security when it no longer offers an attractive risk-adjusted potential return.

We expect that a low proportion of data will be estimated. The proportion of data that is estimated will depend on the composition of investee companies – the nature of their business and sectors in which they operate. We expect that data availability and quality will improve as the market and methods for obtaining and reporting data mature.

Limitations to methodologies and data

Limitations in both methodology and data include but are not limited to:

- Lack of standardization;
- Gaps in company coverage especially in private companies and companies that reside in Emerging Markets;
- Limitations in application for both Public and Private Debt markets versus Public Equity;
- Some data sets such as Carbon Emissions are reported at a significant time-lag; and
- Some of the available third-party data is calculated based on data estimates.

As such, investment teams are not dependent on raw data. Neuberger Berman has developed a firm-wide proprietary ratings system, called the Neuberger Berman ESG Quotient, which is under continual testing to enhance methodology and data coverage.

In addition, Neuberger Berman continues to advocate for greater standardized disclosures; for example, Neuberger Berman is a member of the International Financial Reporting Standards (the "IFRS") Sustainability Alliance, which aims to develop a more coherent and comprehensive system for corporate disclosure.

Neuberger Berman is also a formal supporter of the recommendations of the Taskforce on Climate Related Financial Disclosure ("TCFD") because we believe that climate change is a material driver of investment risk and return across industries and asset classes. The TCFD will help develop voluntary, consistent climate-related financial risk disclosures.

Neuberger Berman is satisfied that such limitations do not affect the attainment of environmental or social characteristics, in particular because of the steps taken to mitigate such limitations:

- As noted above, we periodically engage with data vendors on data quality, and the third party sources relied upon are the same as those relied upon by the broader market and so are likely to be refined as the market for products with environmental or social characteristics matures;
- We engage directly with management teams of corporate issuers through a robust ESG engagement program; and
- Each investment opportunity's environmental and social characteristics are evaluated in detail, in accordance with our internal frameworks and using a variety of data sources, having regard to these limitations as well (where appropriate).

Due diligence

Before making investments, the investment team will conduct due diligence that it deems reasonable and appropriate based on the facts and circumstances applicable to each investment. The investment team will assess the investment's compliance with the environmental and social characteristics promoted by the product using (as appropriate) internal analyses, screens, tools and data sources, and may also evaluate other important and complex environmental, social and governance issues related to the investment. The investment team may select investments on the basis of information and data filed by the issuers of such securities with various regulatory bodies or made directly available to Neuberger Berman by the issuers of the securities and other instruments or through sources other than the issuers.

The Portfolio will not invest in securities issued by issuers whose activities breach the Neuberger Berman Controversial Weapons Policy and the Neuberger Berman Thermal Coal Involvement Policy. Furthermore, the Portfolio does not invest in securities issued by issuers whose activities breach the Neuberger Berman Global Standards Policy. Please see above for a full list of the ESG exclusion policies applied by the Portfolio.

The Neuberger Berman Controversial Weapons Policy, Neuberger Berman Thermal Coal Involvement Policy and the Global Standards Policy are subject to internal review by the Neuberger Berman ESG Committee. The implementation of the Global Standards Policy is managed by the Neuberger Berman Asset Management Guideline Oversight Team in collaboration with legal and compliance.

The investment professionals responsible for portfolio management are the first step in maintaining compliance with the Portfolio's investment guidelines and ESG exclusions. While we look to the investment professionals as the first step in the compliance process, we recognize the need for additional, independent oversight. To this end, a rigorous risk management framework is established that features dedicated investment and operational risk teams inclusive of independent guidelines oversight such as ESG exclusions who work to protect client assets and our reputation. Our risk professionals act as an independent complement to each investment team's portfolio construction process, driving investment and operational risk reviews in collaboration with other control units of the firm, such as information technology, operations, legal and compliance, asset management guideline oversight and internal audit.

Engagement policies

The Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager engage directly with management teams of issuers through a robust ESG engagement program.

The Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager also engage with sovereign issuers in developed and Emerging Market Countries. As part of its sovereign engagement, the Manager's and the Sub-Investment Manager's portfolio managers and analysts speak regularly to government officials, policy makers and international financial organisations, such as the International Monetary Fund, World Bank and Asian Development Bank, with onsite visits whenever possible, and utilise such meetings to engage with sovereign issuers on ESG topics, where the Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager see scope for improvement for the relevant country.

The sovereign engagement process tends to focus on the various areas relating to SDGs under the UN Global Compact, and the UNGP. In addition, the Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager monitor and engage with countries on reducing GHG emissions based on the Climate Watch Net Zero Tracker managed by World Resources Institute. Sovereign engagement is also carried out with jurisdictions under increased monitoring, who are actively working with the Financial Action Task Force ("**FATF**") to address strategic deficiencies in counter money laundering, terrorist financing and proliferation financing. Progress on sovereign engagement is tracked centrally in the Manager's and the Sub-Investment Manager's engagement log.

The Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager view this direct engagement with issuers, as an important part of its investment process (including the investment selection process). Issuers that are not receptive to engagement are less likely to be held (or to continue to be held) by the Portfolio.

This program is focused on in-person meetings, email and conference calls to understand ESG risks, opportunities, and assess good corporate governance practices of issuers. As part of the direct engagement process, the Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager may set objectives for the issuers to attain. These objectives as well as the issuers' progress with respect to same are monitored and tracked by the Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager through an internal NB engagement tracker.

The Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager firmly believe this consistent engagement with issuers can help reduce credit risk and promote positive sustainable corporate change. It is an important tool to identify and better understand an issuer's risk factors and performance. The Manager and the Sub-Investment Manager also use it to promote change, when necessary, which they believe will result in positive outcomes for creditors and broader stakeholders. Direct engagement when paired with other inputs, creates a feedback loop that allows analysts in the investment team to evolve their ESG scoring process and prioritise risks that are most relevant to a sector.

Designated reference benchmark

A reference benchmark has not been designated for the purpose of attaining the environmental or social characteristics promoted by the Portfolio.